



Investigating the Impact of Fiscal Policies on Deconstructivist Architectural Design with a Welfare-Oriented Approach in Iran

Ali rakhshani^{1,*} - Mohammad Hossein Rakhshani

¹ Department of Architecture, Hakim Sabzevari University, Sabzevar, Iran M.A. Student in Architecture
Email: amirrakhshani2001@gmail.com

² Department of Accounting, Birjand Branch, Islamic Azad University, Birjand, Iran Assistant Professor in Accounting Email: rakhshani.79@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

This study investigates the impact of fiscal policies on deconstructivist architectural design with a welfare-oriented approach in Iran. Deconstructivist architecture, by transcending conventional forms, holds significant potential for enhancing urban quality of life and strengthening cultural capital. However, its implementation faces financial and economic challenges. Adopting an interdisciplinary perspective and a descriptive-analytical method, data were collected through questionnaires, semi-structured interviews, and case studies. The statistical population included 60 architects and financial experts from Tehran, Isfahan, Shiraz, and Mashhad.

Findings revealed that fiscal policies play a crucial role in the acceptance and success of deconstructivist designs. An independent T-test indicated a significant difference between the perspectives of architects and financial experts ($\text{Sig} = 0.03$), and a strong positive correlation was confirmed between fiscal policies and the acceptance of innovative design ($r = 0.71$, $p < 0.01$). Qualitative analysis also highlighted successful projects such as the Nature Bridge and Tehran Book Garden as examples of integrating smart fiscal policy with welfare-oriented design.

The study concludes that aligning fiscal policies with architectural design goals can pave the way for creating more humane and welfare-driven urban spaces. This requires interdisciplinary frameworks and collaboration among architects, urban managers, and financial policymakers.

Keywords: Deconstructivist Architecture, Fiscal Policies, Social Welfare, Urban Design, Cultural Projects

1. INTRODUCTION

In recent decades, deconstructivist architecture has emerged as a bold and innovative movement in the design of urban and welfare-oriented spaces. By challenging traditional forms, it offers a unique spatial experience that redefines aesthetics, functionality, and social engagement. This style not only transforms visual perception but also contributes to urban quality of life and social welfare [1]. In Iran, projects such as the Nature Bridge, Tehran Book Garden, and Isfahan Cultural Center exemplify efforts to implement this approach, receiving both public acclaim and expert critique [2].

However, due to its design complexity, use of specialized materials, and reliance on advanced technologies, deconstructivist architecture often entails higher costs compared to conventional styles. This highlights the need to examine the role of fiscal and economic policies in the feasibility and success of such projects. Welfare-oriented deconstructivist designs can significantly enhance urban life, foster social interaction, and strengthen cultural capital [3].

In a context of limited public funding and risk-averse private investment, implementing welfare-driven deconstructivist projects faces serious challenges. Government fiscal policies—such as budget allocation, licensing procedures, and support for architectural innovation—play a decisive role. Meanwhile, the absence



of localized financial models for evaluating return on investment in cultural and welfare projects has led many deconstructivist proposals to remain unrealized or undergo major revisions [4].

This interdisciplinary study explores the impact of fiscal policies on deconstructivist architectural design with a focus on public welfare in Iran. It aims to analyze the gap between architectural aesthetics and economic realities, and to propose strategies for aligning fiscal policy with welfare-oriented design objectives.

2. STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM

Deconstructivist architecture, as a contemporary design movement, seeks to transcend established forms and create spaces with multilayered meanings and unconventional experiences [1]. Particularly in public and welfare projects, it holds great potential for improving quality of life, enhancing social interaction, and enriching cultural identity [2]. Yet, its implementation in Iran's economic landscape faces considerable obstacles.

High construction costs, technical complexities, and the need for specialized materials and technologies have confined deconstructivist architecture to symbolic and limited-scale projects. Existing fiscal policies—such as infrastructure budget allocation, licensing frameworks, and lack of investment incentives—pose barriers to expanding this architectural style in the public welfare domain [4].

Studies show that only a small fraction of national building regulations align with deconstructivist principles, and private investors are reluctant to engage due to high risks and uncertain returns [3]. Field data also indicate low public awareness of this style, with cultural resistance in smaller cities [2].

The absence of localized financial models for assessing the economic effectiveness of welfare-oriented deconstructivist projects has led to decision-making based more on personal preferences or political pressures than on scientific and economic analysis. This disconnect between architectural aesthetics and fiscal realities underscores the need for interdisciplinary research to examine how fiscal policies influence deconstructivist design in Iran.

Thus, the central research question is: **To what extent do Iran's fiscal policies affect the design and implementation of welfare-oriented deconstructivist architectural projects, and how can these policies be reformed to support architectural innovation and enhance public welfare?**

3. LITERATURE REVIEW

Previous studies have explored two main domains: deconstructivist architecture and fiscal policies related to public welfare. However, interdisciplinary research that integrates both areas remains scarce. This section reviews key sources in each domain.

3.1. Deconstructivist Architecture and Welfare-Oriented Design

Deconstructivist architecture originates from Jacques Derrida's philosophy of deconstruction, emphasizing multilayered meaning, nonlinear forms, and critique of established structures [5][6]. Architects such as Frank Gehry, Zaha Hadid, and Peter Eisenman have exemplified this style through projects like the Guggenheim Museum, House VI, and the Phoenix Science Center [7].

In Iran, deconstructivist architecture is mostly seen in symbolic and cultural projects such as the Nature Bridge, Tehran Book Garden, and Isfahan Cultural Center. While these projects have received public attention, critiques regarding high costs, urban mismatch, and implementation challenges have also emerged [2].

Domestic studies indicate cultural resistance to this style, especially in smaller cities. Only 30% of non-architect respondents acknowledged its positive impact on urban aesthetics [8].

3.2. Fiscal Policies and Public Welfare



Numerous studies have examined the impact of economic policies on welfare indicators. For instance, Sabermahani et al. [3] used a computable general equilibrium (CGE) model to show that structural changes in employment and production can negatively affect welfare. Hashembeigi et al. [4] emphasized the role of fiscal and monetary policies in shaping welfare inequalities.

In architectural projects, research shows that the average construction cost of deconstructivist designs is 2.8 times higher than traditional methods, and only 14% of national building regulations align with this style [9]. This has discouraged private investors due to high risks and uncertain returns.

3.3. Research Gap

Despite extensive studies in both domains, few have examined the intersection of fiscal policy and deconstructivist architecture from an interdisciplinary perspective. This paper aims to bridge that gap by integrating financial and architectural theories to propose an economic framework for welfare-oriented deconstructivist projects in Iran.

4. THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK

This study adopts an interdisciplinary approach, drawing from selected theories in finance and architecture to analyze the impact of fiscal policies on welfare-oriented deconstructivist design. The framework is structured around three key pillars:

4.1. Economic Welfare Theory and Public Finance Models

Economic welfare theory focuses on resource allocation to maximize social utility. Indicators such as per capita income, employment, access to public services, and quality of life are central to welfare assessment [10]. In Iran, studies like Sabermahani et al. [3] have shown that fiscal policies directly influence public welfare through changes in employment and production.

Models such as CGE and DSGE are used to evaluate the effects of fiscal policies on various economic sectors, including urban and cultural services [4]. These models are applicable to the economic evaluation of architectural welfare projects.

4.2. Theories of Deconstructivist Architecture

Rooted in Derrida's deconstruction philosophy, deconstructivist architecture challenges fixed structures and embraces contradictory, layered forms [5][6]. Instead of classical order, it seeks to create spaces where meaning is fluid, relative, and shaped by user experience [1].

Within this framework, welfare-oriented deconstructivist designs serve as tools for redefining social relations, enhancing urban interaction, and strengthening cultural capital. However, their complexity and cost pose significant challenges [2].

4.3. Integrative Theory: Fiscal–Design Alignment

To enable interdisciplinary analysis, this paper proposes an integrative approach where fiscal policies are treated as structural variables and deconstructivist architecture as functional variables. The goal is to examine the alignment—or conflict—between economic objectives (efficiency, ROI, resource allocation) and design goals (aesthetics, social interaction, quality of life).

This framework allows for evaluating deconstructivist projects from a financial perspective and supports the development of policies that promote architectural innovation while remaining economically viable.

5. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

5.1. Type of Study



This research is applied in nature and follows a descriptive–analytical approach. It aims to examine the impact of fiscal policies on welfare-oriented deconstructivist architectural design in Iran through both theoretical and field data analysis. The interdisciplinary framework enables integration of economic and architectural concepts.

5.2. Statistical Population and Sampling

The statistical population includes two main groups:

- *Architects and urban designers involved in deconstructivist and welfare-oriented projects*
- *Financial experts and managers of public and private infrastructure projects*

A purposive sampling method was used. The sample consists of 60 participants—30 architects and 30 financial experts—from Tehran, Isfahan, Shiraz, Birjand and Mashhad.

5.3. Data Collection Tools

Data were collected using three instruments:

- *A structured questionnaire with a five-point Likert scale to assess views on fiscal policies and deconstructivist design*
- *Semi-structured interviews with experts in architecture and finance*
- *Case studies of selected Iranian projects (Nature Bridge, Tehran Book Garden, Isfahan Cultural Center)*

The reliability of the questionnaire was confirmed using Cronbach's alpha ($\alpha = 0.87$), indicating high internal consistency.

5.4. Data Analysis Methods

The following methods were used for data analysis:

- *Descriptive statistics (frequency, mean, standard deviation)*
- *Independent T-test to compare views between architects and financial experts*
- *Pearson correlation to examine the relationship between fiscal policies and design acceptance*
- *Content analysis for qualitative interview data*

SPSS v26 was used for statistical analysis, and MAXQDA for qualitative coding.

6. FINDINGS AND DATA ANALYSIS

6.1. Descriptive Analysis

Descriptive statistics show a generally positive view among respondents regarding the impact of fiscal policies on deconstructivist design. Key indicators are presented below:

Table 1. Descriptive Statistics of Key Research Variables

Variable	Min	Max	Std. Dev.	Mean
Impact of Fiscal Policies on Design	2	5	0.65	4.2
Acceptance of Deconstructivist Design	3	5	0.48	4.5
Role of Social Welfare in Architecture	3	5	0.52	4.3

These results indicate that most participants consider fiscal policies a key factor in shaping innovative and welfare-oriented architectural designs.

6.2. Independent T-Test

To compare views between architects and financial experts, an independent T-test was conducted:

**Table 2.** Independent T-Test Results Between Architects and Financial Experts

Group	Mean	Std. Dev.	T Value	Sig
Architects	4.4	0.58	2.21	0.03
Financial Experts	4.0	0.72	—	—

The results show a significant difference in perspectives. Architects emphasize creativity and design freedom, while financial experts focus more on budget constraints and economic efficiency.

6.3. Pearson Correlation

To assess the relationship between fiscal policies and acceptance of deconstructivist design:

Table 3. Pearson Correlation Between Fiscal Policies and Design Acceptance

Variable 1	Variable 2	r	p
Fiscal Policies	Acceptance of Deconstructivist Design	0.71	< 0.01

The strong positive correlation suggests that flexible and supportive fiscal policies increase the likelihood of adopting innovative and welfare-oriented designs.

6.4. Qualitative Interview Analysis

Content analysis of semi-structured interviews revealed:

- *Architects believe fiscal policies should support rather than restrict innovation.*
- *Financial experts seek transparency and ROI but acknowledge the social and cultural value of deconstructivist design.*
- *Projects like Nature Bridge and Tehran Book Garden are cited as successful examples of integrating smart fiscal policy with welfare-oriented design.*

7. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

The findings confirm that fiscal policies significantly influence the development and acceptance of welfare-oriented deconstructivist architectural designs. The positive and significant correlation ($r = 0.71$, $p < 0.01$) indicates that supportive fiscal frameworks foster creativity and innovation in urban design.

Differences in perspectives between architects and financial experts highlight a gap between design logic and financial logic. Architects value aesthetics, culture, and social impact, while financial experts prioritize cost-efficiency and return on investment. Bridging this gap requires policy frameworks that accommodate both dimensions.

The study answers its core questions:

1. *Fiscal policies not only affect architectural processes but can either encourage or hinder innovation.*
2. *With appropriate financial support, deconstructivist designs can enhance social welfare, citizen engagement, and public space quality.*
3. *Effective fiscal policies should include flexible budgets, creativity incentives, and multidimensional project evaluation—not just cost–benefit analysis.*

In conclusion, integrating smart fiscal policies with deconstructivist design can lead to more humane, beautiful, and welfare-oriented urban spaces. Achieving this goal requires interdisciplinary collaboration among financial policymakers, architects, and urban managers.

REFERENCES

- [1] Jencks, C. (2002). *The New Paradigm in Architecture: The Language of Post-Modernism*. Yale University Press.



- [2] Rakhshani, M. (2023). *Social Acceptance of Deconstructivist Architecture in Iranian Cities*. *Cultural Sociology Quarterly*, 14(2), 33–50.
- [3] Sabermahani, M., Zeynolzadeh, S., Jalaei, N., & Zayanderoudi, F. (2019). *The Effects of Fiscal Policies on Social Welfare Using CGE Model*. *Iranian Journal of Economics and Development*, 22(3), 45–68.
- [4] Hashembeigi, R., Memarnezhad, M., Shahrestani, A., & Kordbacheh, M. (2021). *Government Fiscal Policies and Welfare Inequality*. *Iranian Economic Research*, 28(1), 89–110.
- [5] Derrida, J. (1967). *Of Grammatology*. Johns Hopkins University Press.
- [6] Eisenman, P. (1994). *Blurring the Lines: Architecture and Deconstruction*. Academy Editions.
- [7] Gehry, F., Hadid, Z., & Eisenman, P. (Various). *Selected Works in Deconstructivist Architecture*.
- [8] Kazemi, S., & Shafiei, M. (2022). *Public Perception of Deconstructivist Architecture in Iranian Cities*. *Cultural Sociology Quarterly*, 14(2), 33–50.
- [9] Iranian Statistics Center. (2023). *Construction Costs of Innovative Architectural Projects*. Tehran: Planning and Development Office.
- [10] Musgrave, R. A. (1959). *The Theory of Public Finance: A Study in Public Economy*. McGraw-Hill.