

# **Advancements in 3D Printing Technologies: Nanoparticle, Tissue Engineering and Challenges- A Review**

Alireza Ramezani<sup>1\*</sup>, Goolia Nikravan<sup>1</sup>, Amirhosein Akbari<sup>1</sup>, Hourieh Emadi<sup>2</sup> <sup>1</sup> *Caspian Faculty of Engineering, College of Engineering, University of Tehran, P. O. Box: 119-43841, Rezvanshahr, Iran* <sup>2</sup> *Department of Chemical Engineering, Isfahan University of Technology, 84156-83111, Esfahan, Iran \* Email: ramezani.alireza@ut.ac.ir*

## **Abstract**

*3D printing, also known as additive manufacturing (AM), is a transformative technology that constructs threedimensional objects from digital models by adding material layer by layer. Its versatility in material usage, ranging from polymers and metals to ceramics and composites, has revolutionized industries like aerospace, automotive, and biomedical engineering. This technology allows for the fabrication of complex geometries and customized designs while minimizing material waste. Despite its advantages, 3D printing faces challenges such as material limitations, optimization of parameters, and the need for multi-material processing. Recent advancements include hybrid printing techniques, enhancing the mechanical properties and functionality of printed objects. Additionally, the integration of nanoparticles into biopolymers is driving innovation in tissue engineering, improving scaffold strength, bioactivity, and tissue regeneration. As research continues to overcome existing challenges, 3D printing is expected to expand its industrial applications and evolve into an essential tool for modern manufacturing.*

*Keywords: Additive Manufacturing (AM), 3D Printing, Polymer Composites, Nanoparticles, Tissue Engineering, Selective Laser Sintering (SLS), Fused Deposition Modeling (FDM), Biocompatible Scaffolds*

#### **1.** *Introduction*

3D printing, also known as additive manufacturing (AM), is a transformative technology that allows for the creation of three-dimensional objects from digital models by adding material layer by layer. This layer-bylayer process contrasts with traditional subtractive manufacturing methods, which remove material from a solid block. The layer-by-layer approach enables the production of complex geometries and intricate designs. [1]. Material versatility is a key feature of 3D printing, as it can utilize a wide range of materials, including polymers, metals, ceramics, and composites. This versatility allows for the fabrication of customized shapes and structures, whether they are dense or feature macro/micro porous architectures [2-7].

3D printing finds applications across various industries, including aerospace, automotive, biomedical, and construction. In the medical field, it is particularly useful in tissue engineering, where it enables the creation of customized scaffolds essential for regenerative medicine [8-12]. The technology offers several advantages, such as customization, which allows for the creation of tailored designs, and efficiency, as it reduces waste by using only the necessary material. Additionally, rapid prototyping speeds up the design and testing phases, making product development faster and more efficient [13]. Despite its many advantages, 3D printing faces challenges, including material limitations and the need for optimization of printing parameters. These challenges necessitate ongoing research to enhance the technology's capabilities and expand its industrial applications [13].

Recent advancements include the development of hybrid printing techniques, which combine multiple materials or printing methods to enhance the mechanical properties and functionality of printed objects. This evolution from single-material to multi-material printing significantly improves the strength and versatility of the final products [14,15].

Generally, 3D printing is a versatile and innovative manufacturing process that has revolutionized various industries by enabling the efficient and sustainable creation of complex, customized objects. However, ongoing



research is crucial to overcoming the existing challenges and further expanding the potential applications of this groundbreaking technology [16].

#### *2. 3D Printing Techniques*

#### **2.1 Fused Deposition Modeling (FDM)**

FDM is a popular 3D printing technique, widely used in various applications, including prototyping, product development, and biomedical engineering [17]. The process involves melting thermoplastic filaments and extruding them through a heated nozzle to build objects layer by layer, enabling the creation of complex geometries that are hard to achieve with traditional methods [16,18,19]. FDM's popularity is largely due to its cost-effectiveness, simplicity, and accessibility, holding a significant market share in the 3D printing industry [16,17,20]. The technology supports various materials, from standard thermoplastics like PLA and ABS to reinforced composites, enhancing the mechanical properties of printed parts [16,21,22]. Despite these advantages, FDM faces limitations such as material constraints, difficulty achieving homogeneous filler dispersion, and challenges with resolution and precision [22-27]. Adjusting printing parameters like layer thickness and raster angle is crucial for optimizing print quality [19,28-30]. Overall, FDM remains a versatile and fundamental tool in additive manufacturing, offering a balance of affordability, ease of use, and adaptability [16,31], Figure 1 shows the schematic of FDM.



**Figure 1.** *Schematic of FDM [16].*

#### **2.2 Selective Laser Sintering (SLS)**

SLS is a prominent 3D printing technology that uses a laser to fuse powdered materials into solid structures [32,33]. The process involves using high-powered lasers to selectively melt polymer powder particles layer by layer, allowing for the creation of intricate designs that are difficult to achieve with traditional manufacturing methods [34-36]. The quality of SLS prints is heavily influenced by processing conditions such as laser power, scan speed, and powder size, requiring precise control to achieve optimal results [16,37,38]. SLS is compatible with a variety of materials, including polymers, metals, and ceramics, with common options being nylon and polystyrene [39,40]. This material versatility allows SLS to produce parts with enhanced mechanical properties, making it suitable for applications in industries like aerospace, automotive, and biomedical fields [37,38,41]. The technology's ability to create complex geometries without the need for support structures simplifies the design process [42]. However, SLS also faces challenges, such as high costs, potential porosity issues, and sensitivity to processing parameters, which can lead to defects [16]. Despite these limitations, SLS remains a powerful tool in additive manufacturing, particularly valued for its ability to produce durable, highquality parts with complex geometries [17,32,43], Figure 2 shows schematic of SLS.



**Figure 2.** *Schematic of SLS [16].*

## **2.3 Stereolithography (SLA)**

SLA is a 3D printing technology that uses ultraviolet (UV) light to cure liquid photopolymer resins into solid objects, enabling the creation of high-resolution, intricate designs [18,44,45]. The SLA process involves a computer-controlled UV laser that selectively cures layers of liquid resin according to a digital model, forming the object layer by layer [17]. SLA is widely used in industries such as fashion, automotive, aerospace, and biomedical due to its capability to produce detailed, lightweight, and high-aspect-ratio structures [46]. Common materials for SLA include acrylic and epoxy resins, which are chosen for their ability to be cured by UV light, allowing for precise and detailed prints [18]. SLA offers advantages like high resolution, smooth surface finishes, and a nozzle-free process that avoids clogging issues seen in other methods [16]. However, SLA faces challenges such as high equipment and material costs, limited material range, and potential cytotoxicity from residual photoinitiators, which can be problematic in biomedical applications [16,43]. Postprocessing is often required to remove unreacted resin and enhance mechanical properties, ensuring the quality and stability of the final product [47]. Despite these limitations, SLA remains a powerful tool for creating complex, high-quality parts suitable for both prototyping and end-use applications [47], Figure 3 shows schematic of SLA.



**Figure 3.** *Schematic of SLA [16].*

## **2.4 Laminated Object Manufacturing (LOM)**

LOM is a 3D printing technology that constructs objects by stacking and bonding layers of sheet material. The process typically involves bonding the sheets together using adhesive, followed by laser cutting to form the desired shapes. This method combines additive and subtractive manufacturing techniques, allowing for the creation of detailed and precise three-dimensional structures [48,49]. Materials used in LOM can include plastics, metals, and ceramics, with the choice of material influencing the final product's strength and durability [49]. LOM is particularly useful for producing prototypes and parts that require a combination of lightweight properties and mechanical strength, making it suitable for various industrial applications [50]. The technology



offers advantages such as reduced material waste, the ability to fabricate multi-material parts, and less shrinkage during the sintering process compared to other 3D printing methods [17,47]. However, LOM also faces challenges, including the need for support structures, potential material wastage due to cutting, and issues with filler distribution that can affect the performance of the printed parts [17,47]. Post-processing steps, such as removing non-essential areas and enhancing interlaminar bonding, are often required to improve the quality and performance of LOM-produced parts [47]. Generally, LOM is a versatile and effective 3D printing technology that leverages layered sheet materials to create strong, lightweight, and complex structures, with both benefits and limitations related to its manufacturing process, Figure 4 shows schematic of LOM.



**Figure 4.** *Schematic of LOM [47].*

## **2.5 Inkjet printing**

Inkjet printing is a crucial technology in 3D printing, especially for polymer composites (PMCs). It stands out due to its high resolution and ability to deposit various materials on demand, including hydrogels, bio-inks, liquid polymers, metallic solutions, and ceramics. This versatility makes it suitable for a wide range of applications. It is extensively used in producing light-emitting substances, electrically active devices, biomedical devices, sensors, and electronic components, demonstrating its broad applicability across different industries [17,51,52]. A major challenge in inkjet printing for PMCs is ensuring consistent quality and repeatability. Variability in feedstock properties can affect the predictability and performance of the final product [17]. Overcoming the technological challenges in inkjet printing requires collaboration among materials scientists, manufacturing experts, and statisticians to enhance manufacturing processes and improve outcomes [51-56]. Despite its potential, the widespread industrial adoption of inkjet printing is hindered by the need for more collaboration between academia and industry to facilitate commercialization and application of printed products [17]. In summary, inkjet printing is a versatile, high-resolution technology with significant potential for developing PMCs in electronics and biomedicine. However, challenges related to material consistency and interdisciplinary collaboration remain key obstacles to its broader use, Figure 5 shows a schematic of Inkjet.





**Figure 5.** *Schematic of inkjet printing [47].*









**Figure 6.** *Schematic of DLP [47].*



**Figure 7.** *Schematic of 3DP [18].*

https://nano.cdsts.ir Page **6**





**Figure 8.** *Schematic of PLP [16].*



**Figure 9.** *Schematic of Robocasting [16].*



**Figure 10.** *Schematic of Bindery jetting [47].*



## *3. Nanoparticles*

Nanoparticles are with dimensions in the nanoscale range, typically between 1 to 100 nanometers. This small size allows them to exhibit unique physical and chemical properties compared to their bulk counterparts. Nanoparticles can be composed of various materials, including metals, ceramics, and polymers. Each type of

nanoparticle can have distinct characteristics and applications based on its composition [78].

In the context of tissue engineering, nanoparticles are incorporated into biopolymer matrices to create nanocomposites.

Why are nanoparticles used in tissue engineering 3D printing?

They provide improved physical, mechanical, electrical, and chemical properties, which are essential for effective tissue and organ regeneration. This allows for better integration with native tissues.

The addition of nanoparticles, like nano silicates and hydroxyapatite nanoparticles, can increase the mechanical strength of the printed structures. This is essential for creating scaffolds that can support tissue growth and withstand physiological conditions [79].

Nanoparticles are designed to mimic the natural extracellular matrix (ECM), which is crucial for supporting cell growth and differentiation. This resemblance helps in creating a more favorable environment for tissue regeneration [80]. The nanoscale features of scaffolds can significantly influence cell migration, differentiation, and adhesion, leading to better tissue regeneration outcomes [81,82].

Many nanoparticles used in conjunction with biodegradable polymers ensure that the scaffolds can degrade safely in the body, reducing long-term complications. Nanoparticles can significantly improve the bioactivity of 3D-printed biomaterials. Their incorporation into biocompatible substrates, such as hydrogels, enhances cell viability and promotes cell differentiation, which is crucial for tissue engineering applications [83,84].

The use of nanoparticles enables the fabrication of complex, multifunctional 3D constructs, which can mimic the natural architecture of tissues more closely the development of 3D printable nanoparticles is advancing rapidly, enabling researchers to explore new methods for creating multi-material and cell-laden scaffolds with precise control over their arrangement.

## **4.** *Types of Nanoparticles*

## **4.1 Carbon Nanoparticle**

Common forms include carbon nanotubes (CNTs), graphene, carbon dots, fullerenes, and carbon nanofibers. Each type has distinct characteristics that make them suitable for various applications, particularly in biomedical fields. Nanocarbon materials possess exceptional electrical, thermal, optical, and mechanical properties. These attributes make them highly desirable for enhancing the performance of polymer matrices in biomedical applications, including tissue engineering [85].

Graphene is a very thin layer of carbon atoms arranged in a honeycomb pattern. It is known for being extremely strong and a great conductor of electricity. Think of it as a super material that can help improve the properties of other materials when mixed. Graphene improves electrical stimulation and cell growth in neural tissues when added to PLGA for 3D-printed scaffolds [86].

Carbon nanotubes are tiny tubes made of carbon atoms. They are very small, with a diameter of about 30 nanometers (which is much smaller than a human hair) and can be up to 20 micrometers long. They are special because they can conduct electricity very well. Various methods are utilized to produce CNTs, including chemical vapor deposition, arc discharge, and laser vaporization, after which they are purified [87]. conducted a study where they used CNTs to improve the mechanical properties of cellular scaffolds. This means they made the scaffolds stronger and better suited for supporting cells. They also ensured that the scaffolds were compatible with living cells, which is essential for successful medical treatments [88].

MWCNT stands for Multi-Walled Carbon Nanotubes. These are tiny tubes made of carbon that are very strong and conductive. created special nerve scaffolds using 3D printing and found that adding electrical stimulation helped improve the growth of certain proteins important for nerve cell stability, which could help in healing nerve tissues [89].



**Figure 11.** *3D printing of nano conductive MWCNT scaffolds for nerve cell regeneration [89].*

## **4.2 Ceramic Nanoparticles**

Ceramic nanoparticles are inorganic, non-metallic paticles that have been processed and fabricated at the nanoscale. They often exhibit unique properties due to their small size and high surface area. Common examples include silica (SiO<sub>2</sub>), bioactive glass (BG), hydroxyapatite (HA), zirconia (ZrO<sub>2</sub>), tricalcium phosphate (TCP), and alumina  $(A_1_2O_3)$ . These materials are increasingly used in various applications, particularly in tissue engineering due to their enhanced mechanical strength and bioactivity [90].

Calcium phosphate (CaP) or tricalcium phosphate (TCP) refers to a family of minerals containing calcium and phosphate ions. It is a major component of bone and teeth, providing structural integrity and strength. Incorporating calcium phosphate nanoparticles (CaP NPs) into scaffolds has been shown to improve mechanical properties, such as elastic modulus and compressive strength. For instance, the elastic modulus of scaffolds increased from 8.5 to 13 MPa with the addition of CaP NPs, indicating enhanced strength for bone tissue engineering applications [91].

calcium hydroxyapatite (HA) is a naturally occurring mineral form of calcium apatite, with the chemical formula  $Ca_{10}(PO_4)_6(OH)_2$ . nHA or nano-hydroxyapatite, is a nanostructured form of hydroxyapatite. Integrating nHA into PLGA scaffolds enhances their mechanical strength and stiffness, which is crucial for load-bearing applications in bone tissue engineering. This combination allows for the creation of scaffolds that can better mimic the mechanical properties of natural bone. Bio-inspired nanoparticles can be designed to encapsulate growth factors, such as chondrogenic transforming growth factors. This feature allows for the controlled release of these factors, promoting cell differentiation and tissue regeneration [92].

SiO<sub>2</sub> NPs stand for silicon dioxide nanoparticles. These are very small particles made of silicon and oxygen. They are about 64 nanometers in size, which is much smaller than human hair. this research shows how adding nanoparticles like SiO<sub>2</sub> to alginate gels can improve their strength, reduce swelling, and help cells survive better. This is important for creating better materials for tissue engineering, which aims to repair or replace damaged tissues in the body [93].

#### **4.3 Metallic Nanoparticles**

Metals are known for being very strong. This means they can hold up and support other materials without breaking easily. Metals are not just strong; they also help in biological functions. They act as frameworks for enzymes, which are proteins that speed up chemical reactions in the body. For example, some metals help in forming bones by supporting proteins that build bone tissue. Some metals are called co-factors. This means they help enzymes do their job better. For instance, they can help in processes like angiogenesis, which is the formation of new blood vessels. This is important because new blood vessels supply nutrients and oxygen to tissues [94]. When metals are made into very small pieces, known as nanostructures, they gain special abilities. Gold nanoparticles are small bits of gold that are so tiny you can't see them with your eyes. They are used in many areas of science and medicine because they can interact with cells in helpful ways. In bone tissue engineering, they help cells grow and form new bone [95]. utilized rapid prototyping (RP) printing technology to create polycaprolactone scaffolds. These scaffolds were specifically designed for bone tissue engineering applications. They incorporated gold nanoparticles (AuNPs) onto the surface of the scaffolds. This



modification aimed to enhance the scaffolds' properties, particularly in promoting osteogenic differentiation of cells [96].

Magnetic nanoparticles are very small particles that can be controlled by magnets. They are so tiny that they are measured in nanometers. The two main types mentioned are magnetite (Fe $_3$ O<sub>4</sub>) and maghemite (Fe $_2$ O<sub>3</sub>). These names might sound complicated, but they refer to different forms of iron oxide, which is a compound made of iron and oxygen. Magnetic nanoparticles have many important uses in medicine, including Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI), Drug Delivery Control, Cell/Tissue Targeting, and Hyperthermia in Cancer Treatment. When these nanoparticles are added to scaffolds, they give the scaffolds special abilities. For example, they can help control how cells communicate with each other, both in lab settings (in vitro) and in living organisms (in vivo). This is especially true when the nanoparticles are very small, less than 100 nanometers, and have a uniform size [97].

Ag NPs are known for their ability to kill bacteria. This is very important in tissue engineering, which is the field that focuses on repairing or replacing damaged tissues in the body. Bacterial infections can be a big problem when trying to heal tissues, so using Ag NPs can help keep these infections away [98]. They help guide how cells behave, support their growth and specialization, and enhance the materials that help build new tissues [99].



**Figure 12.** *Mechanisms of the Ag NPs antimicrobial effect [100].*

#### **5.** *Applications*

Additive manufacturing (AM) technologies are significantly impacting industries like aerospace, biomedical, and electronics by enabling the creation of complex, high-performance components. In aerospace, AM is currently contributing 18.2% to the market, with projections indicating further growth [66]. Techniques such as selective laser sintering (SLS) and electron beam manufacturing (EBM) are employed to fabricate intricate designs using metal powders, enhancing both efficiency and cost-effectiveness [67]. Lightweight yet strong components like airfoils, propellers, and turbine blades are produced using carbon fiber-reinforced polymers, making them ideal for aerospace applications [68]. These materials can withstand high temperatures; for instance, Ultem 1000 mixed with chopped carbon fiber can endure up to 400°F, which is beneficial for manufacturing parts like inlet guide vanes [69]. Additionally, advanced AM methods like powder bed fusion offer significant advantages, including a reduction in component weight by 30% and a decrease in manufacturing time by up to 75% compared to traditional methods [70]. Major aerospace companies such as Airbus and GE Aviation utilize these techniques to optimize the design and functionality of complex parts, like jet engine components, improving heat resistance and extending their service life [71].

In the biomedical sector, AM allows for the creation of patient-specific tissues and organs, leveraging highresolution 3D imaging techniques such as CT and MRI [72]. Commonly used polymers, including gelatin, alginate, PEG, and PLGA, are selected for their biocompatibility, printability, and mechanical properties, which are crucial for ensuring the functionality and safety of biomedical implants [74]. 3D printing offers



precise control over the architecture of scaffolds, facilitating better cell infiltration and tissue regeneration, essential for successful biomedical applications [73]. In electronics, AM is used to print conductive materials such as carbon nanotubes and epoxy composites, leading to the development of functional electronic devices and sensors [75]. These sensors, including piezoresistive and capacitive types, are valuable for applications such as detecting mechanical flexing and water presence, demonstrating the versatility of 3D printing in electronics [76]. The integration of AM in electronics manufacturing allows for rapid prototyping, reducing the time and cost involved in producing complex, customized devices. These applications across various fields highlight the transformative potential of AM technologies, providing innovative solutions that enhance material properties, streamline production processes, and enable the realization of sophisticated designs that were previously unattainable with conventional manufacturing techniques, Table 2 summarizes the materials used by various 3D printing techniques for fabricating bio-composites and their improved properties.









**Figure 13.** *Three-dimensional interweaving of biology and electronics via additive manufacturing to generate a bionic ear. (A) CAD drawing of the bionic ear. (B) (top) Optical images of the functional materials, including biological (chondrocytes), structural (silicone), and electronic (AgNP infused silicone) used to form the bionic ear. (bottom) A 3D printer used for the printing process. (C) Illustration of the 3D printed bionic ear [77].*

## **6.** *Future and Road Map*

The future of 3D printing (3DP) for polymer matrix composites (PMCs) is set for substantial growth, with several key areas identified for development. Continuous advancements in 3DP technologies are essential, focusing on refining current systems and creating new methods tailored to the unique requirements of PMCs. Innovations in material science are critical, particularly in developing new composite materials with advanced fillers such as nanoparticles, carbon nanotubes, and graphene, which are expected to enhance the mechanical, thermal, and electrical properties of PMCs, expanding their application potential.

Interdisciplinary collaboration among academia, industry, and research institutions is crucial to driving innovation and optimizing manufacturing processes. This teamwork will address challenges like improving filler dispersion, enhancing the bonding between the matrix and reinforcement, and reducing void formation, all of which are vital for strengthening the structural integrity of printed composites. Additionally, there is an increasing focus on sustainability and efficiency, with future research aiming to reduce the environmental impact and energy consumption of 3DP processes, ultimately developing greener and more energy-efficient manufacturing methods that can rival conventional techniques.

Expanding industrial applications is another critical focus. Although 3DP technologies have demonstrated potential, their large-scale practical use remains limited. Further exploration in sectors like automotive, aerospace, and biomedical industries is necessary to fully unlock the potential of PMCs. For example, the aerospace industry can benefit from lightweight, high-strength components, while the biomedical sector can utilize biocompatible PMCs for customized implants and prosthetics.

Enhancing the performance of 3D-printed composites remains a priority. Researchers are investigating posttreatment techniques such as infiltration and consolidation to boost the mechanical properties of printed components. The development of scalable, fast, and reliable 3DP processes is crucial for broader adoption in industrial applications. Implementing advanced feedback systems in 3D printers can ensure consistent quality, reduce material waste, and shorten production times.

The roadmap for the future of 3D printing of polymer composites involves a holistic approach that includes technological advancements, material innovation, interdisciplinary collaboration, sustainability, performance improvement, and expanding industrial uses. These efforts aim to overcome current challenges, enhance product performance, and drive the widespread adoption of 3DP technologies across multiple sectors.

## *7. Conclusion*

Looking ahead, 3D printing is expected to play a pivotal role in advancing sustainability within manufacturing processes. By enabling localized production, it reduces the need for extensive supply chains and lowers carbon emissions associated with transportation. Furthermore, the ability to precisely control material deposition significantly minimizes waste, making additive manufacturing a more eco-friendly alternative to traditional subtractive techniques.

Another promising area of development lies in the medical field, where bioprinting is rapidly evolving. Researchers are making strides in printing functional tissues and organs, which could one day alleviate the shortage of donor organs. The capacity to print living cells and biomaterials with high precision brings us closer



to realizing complex biological systems that can replicate human tissue functionality, a groundbreaking advancement in regenerative medicine.

In industrial applications, 3D printing is already being used to create lighter, stronger components for aerospace and automotive industries, enhancing fuel efficiency and performance. As materials science progresses, the integration of smart materials—those that respond to environmental stimuli such as temperature or pressure into 3D printing could revolutionize how products interact with their surroundings, leading to innovations in fields such as robotics and electronics.

Despite these exciting prospects, there is a need to address the limitations of current 3D printing technologies, such as the relatively slow printing speeds and limited scalability for mass production. Overcoming these barriers will require further breakthroughs in hardware, software, and material formulations. Additionally, the regulatory framework around 3D-printed medical devices and implants will need to evolve to ensure the safety and efficacy of these cutting-edge applications.

As the field continues to mature, we can expect additive manufacturing to not only enhance existing processes but also pave the way for entirely new industries and applications. The intersection of 3D printing with emerging technologies like artificial intelligence, machine learning, and the Internet of Things (IoT) will further accelerate innovation, pushing the boundaries of what is possible in design, fabrication, and functionality. Ultimately, the ongoing evolution of 3D printing promises to be a major driver of technological progress in the 21st century.

## **Reference**

[1] T.D. Ngo, A. Kashani, G. Imbalzano, K.T.Q. Nguyen, D. Hui, Additive manufacturing (3D printing): a review of materials, methods,applications and challenges, Compos. B Eng. 143 (2018) 172–196.

[2] S.D. Ligon, R. Liska, J. Stampfl, M. Gurr, R. Mülhaupt, Polymers for 3D printing and customized additive manufacturing, Chem. Rev. 117 (15) (2017) 10212–10290.

[3] K. Sun, T.S. Wei, B.Y. Ahn, J.Y. Seo, S.J. Dillon, J.A. Lewis, 3D printing of interdigitated Li-ion microbattery architectures, Adv. Mater. 25 (33) (2013) 4539–4543.

[4] C So Ladd, J.H. Muth, M.D. J Dickey, 3D printing of free standing liquid metal microstructures, Adv. Mater. 25 (36) (2013) 5081–5085.

[5] U. Scheithauer, T. Slawik, E. Schwarzer, H.J. Richter, T. Moritz, A. Michaelis, Additive manufacturing of metal-ceramic-composites by Thermoplastic3D-printing (3DTP), Journal of Ceramic Science and Technology 6 (2) (2015) 125–131.

[6] S. Hwang, E.I. Reyes, K.S. Moon, R.C. Rumpf, N.S. Kim, Thermo-mechanical characterization of metal/polymer composite filaments and printing parameter study for fused deposition modeling in the 3D printing process, J. Electron. Mater. 44 (3) (2015) 771–777.

[7] J.D. Carrico, N.W. Traeden, M. Aureli, K.K. Leang, Fused filament 3D printing of ionic polymer-metal composites (IPMCs), Smart Mater. Struct. 24 (12) (2015) 125021.

[8] L. Michal, K. Michal, S. Malgorzata, Fast track integration of computational methods with experiments in small wind turbine development, Energies 12 (9) (2019) 1625.

[9] P. Anupama, G. Rachel, Exploration of 3D printing to create zerowaste sustainable fashion notions and jewelry, Fashion and Textiles 5 (1) (2018) 1–18.

[10] K. Altaf, J.A. Qayyum, A.M.A. Rani, F. Ahmad, P.S.M. Megat-Yusoff, M. Baharom, A.R.A. Aziz, M. Jahanzaib, R.M. German, Performance analysis of enhanced 3D printed polymer molds for metal injection molding process, Metals 8 (6) (2018) 433.



[11] C.N. Kellu, A.T. Miller, S.J. Hollister, R.E. Guldberg, K. Gall, Design and structurefunction characterization of 3D printed synthetic porous biomaterials for tissue engineering, Adv. Healthc. Mater. 7 (7) (2018) 1701095.

[12] Y.W.D. Tay, B. Panda, S.C. Paul, N.A.N. Mohamed, M.J. Tan, K.F. Leong, 3D printing trends in building and construction industry: a review, Virtual Phys. Prototyp. 12 (3) (2017) 261–276.

[13] Wang, C., Huang, W., Zhou, Y., He, L., He, Z., Chen, Z., ... & Wang, M. (2020). 3D printing of bone tissue engineering scaffolds. *Bioactive materials*, *5*(1), 82-91.

[14] O. Ivanova, C. Williams, T. Campbell, Additive manufacturing (AM) and nanotechnology: promises and challenges, Rapid Prototype J. 19 (5) (2013) 353\_364.

[15] J. Xiong, et al., Advanced micro-lattice materials, Adv. Eng. Mater. 17 (9) (2015) 1253\_1264.

[16] Saroia, J., Wang, Y., Wei, Q., Lei, M., Li, X., Guo, Y., & Zhang, K. (2020). A review on 3D printed matrix polymer composites: its potential and future challenges. *The international journal of advanced manufacturing technology*, *106*, 1695-1721.

[17] Singh, S., Ramakrishna, S., & Berto, F. (2020). 3D Printing of polymer composites: A short review. *Material Design & Processing Communications*, *2*(2), e97.

[18] Wang, X., Jiang, M., Zhou, Z., Gou, J., & Hui, D. (2017). 3D printing of polymer matrix composites: A review and prospective. *Composites Part B: Engineering*, *110*, 442-458.

[19] Sood AK, Ohdar R, Mahapatra S. Parametric appraisal of mechanical property of fused deposition modelling processed parts. Mater Des 2010;31(1): 287e95.

[20] Parandoush P, Lin D. A review on additive manufacturing of polymer‐fiber composites. Compos Struct. 2017 Dec 15;182:36‐53.

[21] Singh S, Ramakrishna S, Singh R. Material issues in additive manufacturing: a review. *J Manuf Process*. 2017 Jan 1;25:185‐200.

[22] H.N. Chia, B.M. Wu, Recent advances in 3D printing of biomaterials, J Biol Eng 9 (2015) 4.

[23] Mohamed OA, Masood SH, Bhowmik JL. Optimization of fused deposition modeling process parameters: a review of current research and future prospects. *Adv Manuf*. 2015 Mar 1;3(1):42‐53.

[24] Sanatgar RH, Campagne C, Nierstrasz V. Investigation of the adhesion properties of direct 3D printing of polymers and nanocomposites on textiles: effect of FDM printing process parameters. *Appl Surf Sci*. 2017 May 1;403:551‐563.

[25] Rosli NA, Hasan R, Alkahari MR, Tokoroyama T. Effect of process parameters on the geometrical quality of ABS polymer lattice structure. InProceedings of SAKURA Symposium on Mechanical Science and Engineering 2017 2017 Nov 10 (pp. 3‐5). Centre for Advanced Research on Energy.

[26] Mohamed OA,Masood SH, Bhowmik JL (2015) Optimization of fused depositionmodeling process parameters: a review of current research and future prospects. Adv Manuf 3(1):42–53

[27] Majeed A, Lv J, Peng T (2019) A framework for big data driven process analysis and optimization for additive manufacturing.Rapid Prototyp J 25(2):308–321.

[28] Parandoush P, Lin D (2017) A review on additive manufacturing of polymer-fiber composites. Compos Struct 182:36–53

[29] Wang X, Jiang M, Zhou Z, Gou J, Hui D (2017) 3D printing of polymer matrix composites: A review and prospective. Compos Part B: Eng 110:442–458



[30] W. Wu, P. Geng, G. Li, D. Zhao, H. Zhang, J. Zhao, Influence of Layer Thickness and Raster Angle on the Mechanical Properties of 3D-Printed PEEK and a Comparative Mechanical Study between PEEK and ABS, Materials (Basel) 8(9) (2015) 5834-5846.

[31] A. Gremare, V. Guduric, R. Bareille, V. Heroguez, S. Latour, N. L'Heureux, J.C. Fricain, S. Catros, D. Le Nihouannen, Characterization of printed PLA scaffolds for bone tissue engineering, J Biomed Mater Res A 106(4) (2018) 887-894.

[32] Caulfield B, McHugh PE, Lohfeld S. Dependence of mechanical properties of polyamide components on build parameters in the SLS process. *J Mater Process Technol*. 2007 Feb 2;182(1–3):477-488.

[33] Williams JD, Deckard CR. Advances in modeling the effects of selected parameters on the SLS process. *Rapid Prototyp J*. 1998 Jun 1;4(2):90‐100.

[34] Gu D, Meiners W, Wissenbach K, Poprawe R. Laser additive manufacturing of metallic components: materials, processes and mechanisms. Int Mater Rev 2012;57(3):133e64.

[35] Gibson I, Shi D. Material properties and fabrication parameters in selective laser sintering process. Rapid Prototyp J 1997;3(4):129e36.

[36] Goodridge R, Shofner M, Hague R, McClelland M, Schlea M, Johnson R, Tuck C. Processing of a Polyamide-12/carbon nanofibre composite by laser sintering. Polym Test 2011;30(1):94e100.

[37] Prakash KS, Nancharaih T, Rao VS. Additive manufacturing techniques in manufacturing—an overview. *Mater Today Proc*. 2018 Jan 1;5(2):3873‐3882.

[38] Wong KV, Hernandez A. A review of additive manufacturing. *ISRN Mech Eng*. 2012 Aug;16:2012.

[39] Brooks G, Kinsley K, Owens T (2014) 3D printing as a consumer technology business model. Int J Manag Inf Syst (Online) 18(4): 271

[40] Chia HN, Wu BM (2015) Recent advances in 3D printing of biomaterials. J Biol Eng 9(1):4

[41] Kim K, ZhuW, Qu X, Aaronson C, McCallWR, Chen S, Sirbuly DJ (2014) 3D optical printing of piezoelectric nanoparticle– polymer composite materials. ACS Nano 8(10):9799–9806

[42] Gu D, Meiners W, Wissenbach K, Poprawe R (2012) Laser additive manufacturing of metallic components: materials, processes and mechanisms. Int Mater Rev 57(3):133–164

[43] Zhang, L., Yang, G., Johnson, B. N., & Jia, X. (2019). Three-dimensional (3D) printed scaffold and material selection for bone repair. *Acta biomaterialia*, *84*, 16-33.

[44] Brandhoff L, van den Driesche S, Lucklum F, Vellekoop MJ. Creation of hydrophilic microfluidic devices for biomedical application through stereolithography. InBio‐MEMS and Medical Microdevices II 2015 Jun 1 (Vol. 9518, p. 95180D). International Society for Optics and Photonics

[45] Stansbury JW, Idacavage MJ. 3D printing with polymers: Challenges among expanding options and opportunities. *Dent Mater*. 2016 Jan 1;32(1):54‐64.

[46] Maas J, Liu B, Hajela S, Huang Y, Gong X, Chappell WJ. Laser‐based layer‐by‐layer polymer stereolithography for high frequency applications. *Proc IEEE*. 2017 Apr;105(4):645‐654.

[47] Dikshit, V., Goh, G. D., Nagalingam, A. P., Goh, G. L., & Yeong, W. Y. (2020). Recent progress in 3D printing of fiber-reinforced composite and nanocomposites. *Fiber-Reinforced Nanocomposites: Fundamentals and Applications*, 371-394.



[48] Olakanmi EO, Cochrane RF, Dalgarno KW. Densification mechanism and microstructural evolution in selective laser sintering of Al–12Si powders. J Mater Process Technol. 2011 Jan 1;211(1):113‐121.

[49] D. Klosterman, et al., Direct fabrication of ceramics and composites through laminated object manufacturing (LOM), Mater. Process. Affordab. Keys Future (1998) 693\_705.

[50] Kumar S, Kruth JP. Composites by rapid prototyping technology. Mater Des. 2010 Feb 1;31(2):850‐856.

[51] Calvert P. Inkjet printing for materials and devices. *Chem Mater*. 2001 Oct 15;13(10):3299‐3305.

[52] Calvert P, Crockett R. Chemical solid free‐form fabrication: making shapes without molds. *Chem Mater*. 1997 Mar 18;9(3):650‐663.

[53] Kawase T, Shimoda T, Newsome C, Sirringhaus H, Friend RH. Inkjet printing of polymer thin film transistors. *Thin Solid Films*. 2003 Aug 22;438:279‐287.

[54] Li J, Ye F, Vaziri S, Muhammed M, Lemme MC, Östling M. Efficient inkjet printing of graphene. *Adv Mater*. 2013 Aug 7;25(29):3985‐3992.

[55] Van Osch TH, Perelaer J, de Laat AW, Schubert US. Inkjet printing of narrow conductive tracks on untreated polymeric substrates. *Adv Mater*. 2008 Jan 18;20(2):343‐345.

[56] Sirringhaus H, Kawase T, Friend RH, et al. High‐resolution inkjet printing of all‐polymer transistor circuits. *Science*. 2000 Dec 15;290(5499):2123‐2126.

[57] B. Li, P.A. Clark, K.H. Church (Eds.), Robust Direct-Write Dispensing Tool and Solutions for Micro/Meso- Scale Manufacturing and Packaging. ASME 2007 International Manufacturing Science and Engineering Conference; 2007.

[58] Sachs, E.M., J.S. Haggerty, M.J. Cima, and P.A. Williams, Three-dimensional printing techniques. 1993, Google Patents.

[59] Utela B, Storti D, Anderson R, Ganter M. A review of process development steps for new material systems in three dimensional printing (3DP). J Manuf Process 2008;10(2):96e104.

[60] Ge Q, Dunn CK, Qi HJ, Dunn ML. Active origami by 4D printing. Smart Mater Struct 2014;23(9):094007.

[61] Postiglione G, Natale G, Griffini G, Levi M, Turri S. Conductive 3D microstructures by direct 3D printing of polymer/carbon nanotube nanocomposites via liquid deposition modeling. Compos Part A Appl Sci Manuf 2015;76:110e4.

[62] Saari M, Cox B, Richer E, Krueger PS, Cohen AL. Fiber Encapsulation Additive Manufacturing: An Enabling Technology for 3D Printing of Electromechanical Devices and Robotic Components. 3D Print Addit Manuf 2015;2(1):32e9.

[63] Yan, Q., Dong, H., Su, J., Han, J., Song, B., Wei, Q., & Shi, Y. (2018). A review of 3D printing technology for medical applications. *Engineering*, *4*(5), 729-742.

[64] Diegel O, Withell A, de Beer D, Potgieter J, Noble FK (2012) Low-cost 3D printing of controlled porosity ceramic parts. IJAT 6(5):618–626



[65] Halloran JW, Tomeckova V, Gentry S, Das S, Cilino P, Yuan D, Guo R, Rudraraju A, Shao P,Wu T (2011) Photopolymerization of powder suspensions for shaping ceramics. J Eur Ceram Soc 31(14):2613–2619

[66] Wohlers T (2014) Tracking global growth in industrial-scale additive manufacturing. 3D. Print Addit Manuf 1(1):2–3

[67] Liao Y, Li H, Chiu Y (2006) Study of laminated object manufacturing with separately applied heating and pressing. Int J Adv Manuf Technol 27(7-8):703–707

[68] Invernizzi M, Natale G, Levi M, Turri S, Griffini G (2016) UVassisted 3D printing of glass and carbon fiber-reinforced dual-cure polymer composites. Materials 9(7):583

[69] Misra AK, Grady JE, Carter R (2015) Additive manufacturing of aerospace propulsion components.

[70] A world first: additively manufactured titanium components now onboard the Airbus A350 XWB. https://www.etmm-online.com/a-world-first-additively-manufactured-titanium-componentsnow-onboard-theairbus-a350-xwb-a-486310/. Accessed 25/02/2019

[71] Fit to print: new plant will assemble world's first passenger jet engine with 3d printed fuel nozzles, Next-Gen Materials. https://www.ge.com/reports/post/80701924024/fit-to-print/. Accessed 25/02/2019.

[72] Meaney J, Goyen M. Recent advances in contrast-enhanced magnetic resonance angiography. Eur Radiol 2007;17:B2e6.

[73] Hollister SJ. Porous scaffold design for tissue engineering. Nat Mater 2005;4(7):518e24.

[74] Murphy SV, Atala A. 3D bioprinting of tissues and organs. Nat Biotechnol 2014;32(8):773e85.

[75] Leigh SJ, Bradley RJ, Purssell CP, Billson DR, Hutchins DA. A simple, low-cost conductive composite material for 3D printing of electronic sensors. PloS one 2012;7(11):e49365.

[76] Farahani RD, Dalir H, Le Borgne V, Gautier LA, El Khakani MA, L\_evesque M, Therriault D. Directwrite fabrication of freestanding nanocomposite strain sensors. Nanotechnology 2012;23(8):085502.

[77] Mannoor MS, Jiang Z, James T, Kong YL, Malatesta KA, Soboyejo WO, Verma N, Gracias DH, McAlpine MC. 3D printed bionic ears. Nano Lett 2013;13(6):2634e9.

[78] L.J. Zhang, T.J. Webster, Nanotechnology and nanomaterials: Promises for improved tissue regeneration, Nano Today 4(1) (2009) 66-80.

[79] J. R. Xavier, T. Thakur, P. Desai, M. K. Jaiswal, N. Sears, E. Cosgriff-Hernandez, R. Kaunas, A. K. Gaharwar, *ACS Nano* **2015**,*9*, 3109.

[80] Zhang, L., Webster, Thomas J. Nanotechnology and nanomaterials: Promises for improved tissue regeneration. Nano today **4**, 2009.

[81] N. Mansouri, S. Bagheri, The influence of topography on tissue engineering perspective, Mater. Sci. Eng. C Mater. Biol. Appl. 61 (2016) 906–921.

[82] D. Singh, D. Singh, S. Zo, S.S. Han, Nano-biomimetics for nano/micro tissue regeneration, J. Biomed. Nanotechnol. 10 (2014) 3141–3161.

[83] E. A. Guzzi, M. W. Tibbitt, *Adv. Mater.* **2019**, 1901994.



[84] D. Chimene, K. K. Lennox, R. R. Kaunas, A. K. Gaharwar, *Ann. Biomed. Eng.* **2016**, *44*, 2090

[85] C. Cha, S.R. Shin, N. Annabi, M.R. Dokmeci, A. Khademhosseini, Carbon-based nanomaterials:multifunctional materials for biomedical engineering, ACS Nano 7(4) (2013) 2891-2897.

[86] A.E. Jakus, E.B. Secor, A.L. Rutz, S.W. Jordan, M.C. Hersam, R.N. Shah, Three-dimensional printing of high-content graphene scaffolds for electronic and biomedical applications, ACS Nano 9(4) (2015) 4636-4648.

[87] M. Jahanshahi, A.D. Kiadehi, Fabrication, purification and characterization of carbon nanotubes: arcdischarge in liquid media (ADLM), Synth. Appl. Carbon Nanotub. Their Compos. (2013),

[88] C. Cha, S. R. Shin, N. Annabi, M. R. Dokmeci, A. Khademhosseini, *ACS Nano* **2013**, *7*, 2891.

[89] S.J. Lee, W. Zhu, M. Nowicki, G. Lee, D.N. Heo, J. Kim, Y.Y. Zuo, L.G. Zhang, 3D printing nano conductive multi-walled carbon nanotube scaffolds for nerve regeneration, J Neural Eng 15(1) (2018) 016018.

[90] S.I. Roohani-Esfahani, H. Zreiqat, Nanoparticles: a promising new therapeutic platform for bone regeneration, Nanomedicine (Lond) 12(5) (2017) 419-422.

[91] C. Wang, Q. Zhao, M. Wang, Cryogenic 3D printing for producing hierarchical porous and rhBMP-2 loaded Ca-P/PLLA nanocomposite scaffolds for bone tissue engineering, Biofabrication 9 (2017), 025031.

[92] N.J. Castro, J. O'Brien, L.G. Zhang, Integrating biologically inspired nanomaterials and tabletopstereolithography for 3D printed biomimetic osteochondral scaffolds, Nanoscale 7(33) (2015) 14010- 14022.

[93] X. Yang, et al., The stimulatory effect of silica nanoparticles on osteogenic differentiation of human mesenchymal stem cells, Biomed. Mater. 12 (2016), 015001.

[94] J. Li, J.J. Li, J. Zhang, X. Wang, N. Kawazoe, G. Chen, Gold nanoparticle size and shape influence on osteogenesis of mesenchymal stem cells, Nanoscale 8(15) (2016) 7992-8007.

[95] S. Vial, R. L. Reis and J. M. Oliveira, Curr. Opin. Solid State Mater. Sci., 2017, 21, 92–112.

[96] S. Jina´Lee, J. Mina´Seok, J. Heea´Lee, W. Dooa´Kim, I. Keuna´Kwon and S. Aa´Park, Nanoscale, 2018, 10, 15447–15453.

[97] Medeiros, S.F.; Santos, A.M.; Fessi, H.; Elaissari, A. Stimuli-responsive magnetic particles for biomedical applications. Int. J. Pharm. **2011**, 403, 139–161.

[98] Fathi-Achachelouei, M.; Knopf-Marques, H.; Ribeiro da Silva, C.E.; Barthès, J.; Bat, E.; Tezcaner, A.; Vrana, N.E. Use ofnanoparticles in tissue engineering and regenerative medicine. Front. Bioeng. Biotechnol. **2019**, 7, 113.

[99] Vial, S.; Reis, R.L.; Oliveira, J.M. Recent advances using gold nanoparticles as a promising multimodal tool for tissue engineering and regenerative medicine. Curr. Opin. Solid State Mater. Sci. **2017**, 21, 92–112.

[100] Prabhu, S.; Poulose, E.K. Silver nanoparticles: Mechanism of antimicrobial action, synthesis, medical applications, and toxicity effects. Int. Nano Lett. **2012**, 2, 32.